

EXTENSIONS OF WEAK-TYPE MULTIPLIERS

P. MOHANTY AND S. MADAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove that if $\Lambda \in M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and has compact support then Λ is a weak summability kernel for $1 < p < \infty$, where $M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the space of multipliers of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E30, 42B15.

Key words and phrases. Weak-type multipliers, transference.

The first author was supported by CSIR.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a locally compact abelian group, with Haar measure μ and let $\hat{G}$ be its dual. We call an operator $T : L^p(G) \longrightarrow L^{p,\infty}(G)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, a multiplier of weak type (p, p) , if it is bounded and translation invariant i.e. $\tau_x T = T \tau_x \quad \forall x \in G$, and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \mu\{x \in G : |Tf(x)| > t\} \leq \frac{C^p}{t^p} \|f\|_p^p$$

for all $f \in L^p(G)$ and $t > 0$. (Here $L^{p,\infty}$ denotes the standard weak L^p spaces.) Asmar, Berkson and Gillespie in [3] proved that for all such operators T there exists a $\phi \in L^\infty(\hat{G})$ such that $(Tf)^\wedge = \phi \hat{f}$ for all $f \in L^2 \cap L^p(G)$. We will also call such ϕ 's to be multipliers of weak type (p, p) . Let $M_p^{(w)}(\hat{G})$ denote the space of multipliers of weak type (p, p) for $1 \leq p < \infty$, and let $N_p^{(w)}(\phi)$ be the smallest constant C such that inequality (1.1) holds.

In this paper we are concerned with extensions of weak type multipliers from $\mathbb{Z}^N$ to $\mathbb{R}^N$ through summability kernels. For similar results on strong type multipliers, see [6], [4]. Here we identify $\mathbb{T}^N$ with $[0, 1)^N$ and for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we define its Fourier transform as $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Let us define summability kernels for weak type multipliers as follows

Definition 1.1. A bounded measurable function $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}^N \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a weak summability kernel for $M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if for $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ the function $W_{\phi, \Lambda}(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi(n) \Lambda(\xi - n)$ is defined and belongs to $M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

This definition is just the weak type analogue of summability kernel for strong type multipliers [4]. We first cite two important results

regarding the summability kernels of strong type multipliers from the work of Jodeit [6] and of Berkson, Paluszynski and Weiss [4]:

Theorem 1.1. [6] *Let $S \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\text{supp } S \subseteq [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]^N$ with $\tau = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} |\hat{s}(n)| < \infty$, where s is the 1-periodic extension of S , then the function defined by $W_{\phi, \hat{S}}(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi(n) \hat{S}(\xi - n)$ belongs to $M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$ with $\|W_{\phi, \hat{S}}\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_p \tau \|\phi\|_{M_p(\mathbb{Z}^N)}$*

Theorem 1.2. [4] *For $1 \leq p < \infty$, let $\Lambda \in M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\text{supp } \Lambda \subseteq [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]^N$. For $\phi \in M_p(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ define $W_{\phi, \Lambda}(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi(n) \Lambda(\xi - n)$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then $W_{\phi, \Lambda} \in M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\|W_{\phi, \Lambda}\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_p \|\Lambda\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|\phi\|_{M_p(\mathbb{Z}^N)}$ where C_p is a constant. (Further, if Λ has arbitrary compact*

support the same result holds except that the constant C_p necessarily depends

on the support of Λ , as shown in [4]

Asmar, Berkson and Gillespie proved a weak type analogue of Theorem 1.1 in [2]. In this same paper they also proved that Λ defined by $\Lambda(\xi) = \prod_{j=1}^N \max(1 - |\xi_j|, 0)$ for $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$ is a weak type summability kernel. In this paper, we prove the weak type analogue of Theorem 1.2 in §2, for $1 < p < \infty$. In §3 we relax the hypothesis that $\text{supp } \Lambda \subseteq [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]^N$. For the proof of our main result, as in [4], we will obtain the weak type inequalities by applying the technique of transference couples due to Berkson, Paluszynski, and Weiss [4].

Definition 1.2. *For a locally compact group G , a transference couple is a pair $(S, T) = (\{S_u\}, \{T_u\})$, $u \in G$, of strongly continuous mappings defined on G with values in $\mathcal{B}(X)$, where X is a Banach space, satisfying*

- (i) $C_S = \sup\{\|S_u\| : u \in G\} < \infty$
- (ii) $C_T = \sup\{\|T_u\| : u \in G\} < \infty$
- (iii) $S_v T_u = T_{vu} \quad \forall u, v \in G$

In §4, as an application of our result, we prove a weak type analogue of an extension theorem by de Leeuw.

2. WEAK-TYPE INEQUALITY FOR TRANSFERENCE COUPLES AND THE MAIN THEOREM

Let $\Lambda \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\text{supp } \Lambda \subseteq [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]^N$. Consider the following transference couple (S, T) used by Berkson, Paluszyński, and Weiss in [4]. For $u \in \mathbb{T}^N$ the family $T = \{T_u\}$ is given by

$$(2.2) \quad (T_u f)^\wedge(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \Lambda(\xi - n) e^{2\pi i u \cdot n} \hat{f}(\xi), \quad \text{for } f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and the family $S = \{S_u\}$ is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad (S_u f)^\wedge(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} b(\xi - n) e^{2\pi i u \cdot n} \hat{f}(\xi). \quad \text{for } f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $b(\xi) = \prod_{i=1}^N b_i(\xi_i)$ for $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$ and for each i , b_i is the continuous function defined on $\mathbb{R}$ as $b_i(x) = 1$ if $x \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$, linear in $[0, \frac{1}{4}] \cup (\frac{3}{4}, 1]$ and 0 otherwise. It is easy to see that

$$(2.4) \quad S_u f(x) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \check{\beta}_u(l) f(x + u - l) \quad a.e.,$$

where $\check{\beta}_u$ is the inverse Fourier transform of the function $\beta_u(\xi) = b(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot u}$, given explicitly by

$$\check{\beta}_u(\xi) = \prod_{i=1}^N \check{\beta}_{u_i}(\xi_i),$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad \check{\beta}_{u_i}(\xi_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{2e^{\frac{2\pi i(\xi_i+u_i)}{2}}}{\pi^2(\xi_i-u_i)^2} (\cos \frac{\pi}{2}(\xi_i-u_i) - \cos \pi(\xi_i-u_i)) & \text{if } \xi_i \neq u_i \\ \frac{3e^{\frac{2\pi i(\xi_i+u_i)}{2}}}{4} & \text{if } \xi_i = u_i. \end{cases}$$

Then by a straightforward calculation using Eqn.(2.5) we have

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} |\check{\beta}_u(l)| \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \beta(l) = C < \infty,$$

where $\beta(l) = \prod_{i=1}^N \beta_i(l_i)$ and

$$\beta_i(l_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(l_i-1)^2} & \text{if } l_i > 1 \\ \frac{1}{(l_i+1)^2} & \text{if } l_i < 1 \\ \|b_i\|_1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the following theorem we shall show that the operator transferred by T (of the transference couple (S, T) defined in Eqn. (2.2) and Eqn. (2.3)) given by

$$H_k f(\cdot) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} k(u) T_{u^{-1}} f(\cdot) du,$$

where $k \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, satisfies a weak (p, p) inequality.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (S, T) be the transference couple as defined in Eqn. (2.2) and Eqn. (2.3). Then for $1 < p < \infty$ and $t > 0$*

$$\lambda\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |H_k f(x)| > t\} \leq \left(\frac{C}{t}\right)^p C_p C_T N_p^{(w)}(k) \|f\|_p^p,$$

where λ denotes the Lebesgue measure of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $C = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \beta(l)$ as in Eqn. (2.6), C_T is the uniform bound for the family $T = \{T_u\}$, and $C_p = \frac{p}{p-1}$.

Proof: Assume $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For $t > 0$ define $E_t = \{x : |H_k f(x)| > t\}$.

Notice that

$$H_k f(x) = S_{v^{-1}} S_v H_k f(x) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \check{\beta}_{v^{-1}}(l) \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} k(u) T_{u^{-1}v} f(x-v-l) du > t\}. \text{ Let } \mathcal{F}_t = \{(v, x) \in \mathbb{T}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N : |\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \check{\beta}_{v^{-1}}(l) \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} k(u) T_{u^{-1}v} f(x-l) du| > t\}.$$

Then, using translation invariant of Lebesgue measure

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(E_t) &= \lambda\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |S_{v^{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} k(u) T_{u^{-1}v} f(x) du| > t\} \\ &= \lambda\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \check{\beta}_{v^{-1}}(l) \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} k(u) T_{u^{-1}v} f(x-l) du| > t\} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi_{\mathcal{F}_t}(v, x) dx dv \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\{v : |\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \check{\beta}_{v^{-1}}(l) \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} k(u) T_{u^{-1}v} f(x-l) du| > t\}| dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $|E|$ denotes the measure of the subset $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}^N$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(E_t) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\{v : \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \beta(l) \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} k(u) T_{u^{-1}v} f(x-l) du > t\}| dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\{v : \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \beta(l) |k * F(., x-l)(v)| > t\}| dx, \quad \text{where } F(v, x) = T_v f(x) \text{ a.e..} \end{aligned}$$

We know that $\sup_{t>0} t \lambda_f(t)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}}$ for $f \in L^{p,\infty}$. Also, since $p > 1$,

$\|\cdot\|_{p,\infty}$ is equivalent to a norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,\infty}^*$ ([8]), using triangle inequality for norms

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(E_t) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{t^p} \left\| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \beta(l) |k * F(., x-l)| \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{T}^N)}^p dx \\ &\leq C_p^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{t^p} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \beta(l) \|k * F(., x-l)\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{T}^N)}^* \right)^p dx, \quad \text{where } C_p = \frac{p}{p-1} \\ &\leq C_p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{t^p} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \beta(l) N_p^{(w)}(k) \|F(., x-l)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^N)} \right)^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $N_p^{(w)}(k)$ is the weak-type norm of the convolution operator $f \mapsto k * f$ for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(E_t) &\leq C_p^p \frac{1}{t^p} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \beta(l) N_p^{(w)}(k) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} |T_v f(x-l)|^p dx dv \right)^{\frac{1}{p}p} \\ &= C_p^p \frac{1}{t^p} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \beta(l) N_p^{(w)}(k) \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |T_v f(x-l)|^p dx dv \right)^{\frac{1}{p}p} \right)^p \\ &\leq \left(\frac{CC_p C_T}{t^p} N_p^{(w)}(k) \|f\|_p \right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $H_k f$ satisfies a weak (p, p) inequality.

In order to prove the weak-type analogue of Theorem 1.2 we need the following Lemma proved by Asmar, Berkson, and Gillespie in [1].

Lemma 2.1. [1] *Suppose that $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\{\phi_j\} \subseteq M_p^{(w)}(\hat{G})$; $\sup\{|\phi_j(\gamma)| : j \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in \hat{G}\} < \infty$ and suppose ϕ_j converges pointwise a.e. on $\hat{G}$ to a function ϕ . If $\liminf_j N_p^{(w)}(\phi_j) < \infty$ then $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\hat{G})$ and $N_p^{(w)}(\phi) \leq \liminf_j N_p^{(w)}(\phi_j)$.*

In the following theorem, we use the family of operators $\{T_u\}$

defined in (2.2) with $\Lambda \in M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$\text{supp} \Lambda \subseteq [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]^N$. In this case,

by [4] we have $C_T \leq c_p \|\Lambda\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$,

where c_p is a constant.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$ and $\Lambda \in M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is supported in the set $[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]^N$. For $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ define*

$$W_{\phi, \Lambda}(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi(n) \Lambda(\xi - n) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then $W_{\phi, \Lambda} \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $N_p^{(w)}(W_{\phi, \Lambda}) \leq C N_p^{(w)}(\phi) \|\Lambda\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$.

Proof: Using Lemma 2.1 we first show that it is enough to prove the theorem for $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ having finite support. Suppose the theorem

is true for finitely supported ϕ . Then for arbitrary $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$, define $\phi_j = \hat{k}_j \phi$, where k_j is the j -th Féjer kernel. Then for each j , ϕ_j 's have finite support and $(T_{\phi_j} f)^\wedge(n) = \phi_j(n) \hat{f}(n) = (T_\phi(k_j * f))^\wedge(n)$. So $\phi_j \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ for each j and $N_p^{(w)}(\phi_j) \leq N_p^{(w)}(\phi)$. Define $W_{\phi_j, \Lambda}(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi_j(n) \Lambda(\xi - n)$. Now $\liminf_j W_{\phi_j, \Lambda}(\xi) = W_{\phi, \Lambda}(\xi)$. Also, by our assumption

$$\begin{aligned} N_p^{(w)}(W_{\phi_j, \Lambda}) &\leq C N_p^{(w)}(\phi_j) \|\Lambda\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\ &\leq C N_p^{(w)}(\phi) \|\Lambda\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \end{aligned}$$

and $|W_{\phi_j, \Lambda}| \leq 2\|\Lambda\|_\infty \|\phi_j\|_\infty \leq 2\|\Lambda\|_\infty \|\phi\|_\infty$. Thus by Lemma 2.1, applied to $W_{\phi_j, \Lambda}$'s, we conclude that $W_{\phi, \Lambda} \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence it is enough to assume that $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ has finite support.

Now let $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ be finitely supported. Define $k(u) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi(n) e^{-2\pi i u \cdot n}$ then $k \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and $\hat{k}(n) = \phi(n)$. For this particular k and the transference couple (S, T) defined above. We have

$$(H_k f)^\wedge(\xi) = (T_{W_{\phi, \Lambda}} f)^\wedge(\xi).$$

Thus $T_{W_{\phi, \Lambda}} f = H_k f$. Hence from Theorem 2.1 and since $C_T \leq c_p \|\Lambda\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$, we have

$$\lambda \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |T_{W_{\phi, \Lambda}} f(x)| > t\} \leq \left(\frac{C}{t}\right) N_p^{(w)}(\phi) \|\Lambda\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|f\|_p^p.$$

3. LATTICE PRESERVING LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS AND MULTIPLIERS

We shall now relax the hypothesis that $\text{supp } \Lambda \subseteq [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]^N$ to allow Λ to have arbitrary compact support. In fact this can be done by a partition of identity argument as in [4]. Here we give a different method by proving Lemma 3.2 below. Particular cases of this lemma occur in [6] and in [2]. Suppose $\text{supp } \Lambda \subseteq [-M, M]^N$; define $\Lambda_M(\xi) = \Lambda_1(4M\xi)$,

where $\Lambda_1(\xi) = \Lambda(\xi - \frac{1}{2})$. So $\text{supp } \Lambda_M \subseteq [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]^N$. Thus if we define a non-singular transformation $A : \mathbb{R}^N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $Ax = 4Mx$ then $\Lambda_M = \Lambda_1 \circ A$. In order to replace the support condition we need to prove $\Lambda_M \circ A^{-1}$ is a summability kernel. In the work of Jodeit and of Asmar, Berkson and Gillespie they assume A in Lemma 3.2 to be multiplication by 2. We have combined some of the results proved by Gröchenig and Madych [5] in the following lemma which will help us to prove Lemma 3.2. In the proof of Theorem 3.1, we only use the case of a diagonal linear transform, but the more general results proved below are of some interest in their own right.

Lemma 3.1. [5] *Let $A : \mathbb{R}^N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be a non-singular linear transformation which preserves the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^N$ (i.e. $A(\mathbb{Z}^N) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$). Then the following are true.*

- (i) *The number of distinct coset representatives of $\mathbb{Z}^N / A\mathbb{Z}^N$ is equal to $q = |\det A|$.*
- (ii) *If $Q_0 = [0, 1)^N$ and $k_1, \dots, k_q$ are the distinct coset representatives of $\mathbb{Z}^N / A\mathbb{Z}^N$ then the sets $A^{-1}(Q_0 + k_i)$ are mutually disjoint.*
- (iii) *Let $Q = \cup_{i=1}^q A^{-1}(Q_0 + k_i)$, then $\lambda(Q) = 1$ and $\cup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} (Q + k) \simeq \mathbb{R}^N$.*
- (iv) *$AQ \simeq \cup_{i=1}^q (Q_0 + k_i)$.*

Where $E \simeq F$ if $\lambda(F \triangle E) = 0$.

The above result is essentially contained in [5].

Lemma 3.2. *Let A be as in Lemma 3.1. Denote $A^t = B$, where A^t is the transpose of A . For $\phi \in l_\infty(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ define*

$$\psi(n) = \phi(Bn)$$

and

$$\eta(n) = \begin{cases} \phi(B^{-1}n) & n \in B\mathbb{Z}^N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(i) If $\phi \in M_p(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ then $\psi, \eta \in M_p(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ with multiplier norms not exceeding the multiplier norm of ϕ .

(ii) If $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ then $\psi, \eta \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ with weak multiplier norms not exceeding the weak multiplier norm of ϕ .

Proof: (i) For $f \in L^p(Q_0)$, we let f again denote the periodic extension to $\mathbb{R}^N$. Define $Sf(x) = f(Ax)$, then Sf is also periodic and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_0} |Sf(x)|^p dx &= \int_{Q_0} |Sf(x)|^p \sum_j \chi_Q(x-j) dx \\ &= \sum_j \int_{Q_0+j} |Sf(x)|^p \chi_Q(x) dx \\ &= \int_Q |Sf(x)|^p dx \\ &= \frac{1}{|\det A|} \int_{AQ} |f(x)|^p dx \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q \int_{Q_0+k_i} |f(x)|^p dx \quad ((iv) \text{ of Lemma 3.1}) \\ &= \int_{Q_0} |f(x)|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus S is an isometry, i.e., $\|Sf\|_{L^p(Q_0)} = \|f\|_{L^p(Q_0)}$. Further, from the orthogonality relations of the characters (Lemma 1, [7]) we have

$$(Sf)^\wedge(n) = \begin{cases} \hat{f}(B^{-1}n) & \text{if } n \in B\mathbb{Z}^N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $f \in L^p(Q_0)$ we define an operator W on $L^p(Q_0)$ given by $Wf(x) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q f(A^{-1}(x + k_i))$, where $k_1, \dots, k_q$ are distinct cosets representations of $\mathbb{Z}^N/A\mathbb{Z}^N$. Then for a trigonometric polynomial f ,

$$(Wf)^\wedge(n) = \hat{f}(Bn),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{Q_0} |Wf(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \left(\int_{Q_0} \left| \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q f(A^{-1}(x + k_i)) \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q \left(\int_{Q_0} |f(A^{-1}(x + k_i))|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \frac{q^{\frac{1}{p}}}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q \left(\int_{A^{-1}(Q_0+k_i)} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|Wf\|_{L^p(Q_0)} \leq q^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \|f\|_{L^p(Q_0)}$, since

$$\int_{Q_0} |f(x)|^p dx = \int_Q |f(x)|^p dx \text{ as above. It is easy to see that}$$

$$(3.7) \quad ST_\phi W = T_\eta$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad WT_\phi S = T_\psi$$

It follows that, if $\phi \in M_p(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ then $\|T_\psi f\| \leq C_p \|\phi\|_{M_p(\mathbb{Z}^N)} \|f\|_{L^p(Q_0)}$.

Also $\|T_\eta f\|_{L^p(Q_0)} \leq C_p \|\phi\|_{M_p(\mathbb{Z}^N)} \|f\|_{L^p(Q_0)}$. Hence $\psi, \eta \in M_p(\mathbb{Z}^N)$.

(ii) For $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$, we need to calculate the distribution function of Sf and Wf . Denote $E_t = \{x \in Q_0 : |Sf(x)| > t > 0\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |E_t| &= \int_{Q_0} \chi_{E_t}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{Q_0} \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(|f(Ax)| - t) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \int_{AQ} \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(|f(x)| - t) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q \int_{Q_0+k_i} \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(|f(x)| - t) dx \\
&= |\{x : |f(x)| > t\}|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(3.9) \quad |\{x \in Q_0 : |Sf(x)| > t\}| = |\{x \in Q_0 : |f(x)| > t\}|$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
|\{x \in Q_0 : |Wf(x)| > t\}| &= |\{x \in Q_0 : |\sum_{i=1}^q f(A^{-1}(x + k_i))| > tq\}| \\
&\leq |\{x \in Q_0 : \sum_{i=1}^q |f(A^{-1}(x + k_i))| > tq\}| \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^q \int_{Q_0} \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(|f(A^{-1}(x + k_i))| - t) dx \\
&= q \sum_{i=1}^q \int_{A^{-1}(Q_0+k_i)} \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(|f(x)| - t) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(3.10) \quad |\{x \in Q_0 : |Wf(x)| > t\}| \leq q |\{x \in Q_0 : |f(x)| > t\}|.$$

From the relations (3.7) - (3.10), we conclude that $\psi, \eta \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ whenever $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$. Also $N_p^{(w)}(\psi) \leq CN_p^{(w)}(\phi)$ and $N_p^{(w)}(\eta) \leq CN_p^{(w)}(\phi)$.

As an application of this Lemma we get the following result regarding weak summability kernels.

Lemma 3.3. *Let A be as in Lemma 3.1. Suppose Λ is a weak (strong) summability kernel then $\Lambda \circ B$ and $\Lambda \circ B^{-1}$ are also weak (strong) summability kernels.*

Proof: Define $W_{\phi, \Lambda \circ B}$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$ for $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$.

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\phi, \Lambda \circ B}(x) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi(n) \Lambda \circ B(x - n) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \eta(n) \Lambda(Bx - n) \\ &= W_{\eta, \Lambda}(Bx). \end{aligned}$$

As $\eta \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ (by Lemma 3.2) and since Λ is a summability kernel we have $W_{\eta, \Lambda} \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence $W_{\phi, \Lambda \circ B} \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\phi, \Lambda \circ B^{-1}}(x) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi(n) \Lambda(B^{-1}x - B^{-1}n) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{n \in B\mathbb{Z}^N + p_j} \phi(n) \Lambda(B^{-1}x - B^{-1}n) \end{aligned}$$

where $p_1, \dots, p_q$ are distinct coset representatives of $B\mathbb{Z}^N/\mathbb{Z}^N$ ($p_1 = 0$).

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\phi, \Lambda \circ B^{-1}}(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi(Bn + p_j) \Lambda(B^{-1}x + B^{-1}p_j - n) \\ &= W_{\psi, \Lambda}(B^{-1}x) + \dots + W_{\psi_{p_{q-1}}, \Lambda}(B^{-1}x - B^{-1}p_q) \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi_{p_i}(l) = \phi(Bl + p_j)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$. As $\psi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ and Λ is a summability kernel we conclude that $W_{\phi, \Lambda \circ B^{-1}} \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Hence from Lemma 3.3 and the discussion preceeding Lemma 3.1 we conclude the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $\Lambda \in M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\text{supp } \Lambda \subseteq [-M, M]$; for $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ define $W_{\phi, \Lambda}(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi(n) \Lambda(\xi - n)$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$, then $W_{\phi, \Lambda} \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $N_p^{(w)}(W_{\phi, \Lambda}) \leq C_\Lambda N_p^{(w)}(\phi) \|\Lambda\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$, where C_Λ is a constant depending on Λ .

4. AN APPLICATION

As an application of Theorem 3.1, we prove a weak-type version of a result proved by de Leeuw [8].

Theorem 4.1. *For $1 < p < \infty$, and $\epsilon > 0$; let $\{\phi_\epsilon\} \subseteq M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfy*

$$(i) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \phi_\epsilon\left(\left[\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right]\right) = \phi(x) \text{ a.e.}$$

$$(ii) \sup_{\epsilon} N_p^{(w)}(\phi_\epsilon) = K < \infty.$$

Then $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R})$ and $N_p^{(w)}(\phi) \leq \sup_{\epsilon} N_p^{(w)}(\phi_\epsilon)$.

Proof: For each $\epsilon > 0$, define W_{ϕ_ϵ} on $\mathbb{R}$ by

$$(4.11) \quad W_{\phi_\epsilon}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_\epsilon(n) \chi_{[0,1)}(x - n).$$

As $\chi_{[0,1)} \in M_p(\mathbb{R})$ for $1 < p < \infty$, from Theorem 3.1 we have $W_{\phi_\epsilon} \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R})$ and $N_p^{(w)}(W_{\phi_\epsilon}) \leq C N_p^{(w)}(\phi_\epsilon) \leq CK$. We define another function ψ_ϵ , for each $\epsilon > 0$, by $\psi_\epsilon(x) = W_{\phi_\epsilon}\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)$. Then $\psi_\epsilon \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$(4.12) \quad N_p^{(w)}(\psi_\epsilon) \leq N_p^{(w)}(W_{\phi_\epsilon}) \leq CK.$$

From (4.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\epsilon(x) &= W_{\phi_\epsilon}\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_\epsilon(n) \chi_{[0,1)}\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} - n\right) \\ &= \phi_\epsilon\left(\left[\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right]\right). \end{aligned}$$

So from our hypothesis

$$(4.13) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \psi_\epsilon(x) = \phi(x) \text{ a.e.}$$

Also we have $|\psi_\epsilon(x)| < \infty$ (as $\sup_{\epsilon, n} |\phi_\epsilon(n)| < \infty$).

Hence from (4.11), (4.12) and (4.13) along with Lemma 2.1 we have $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R})$ and $N_p^{(w)}(\phi) \leq \lim_{\epsilon} N_p^{(w)}(\phi_\epsilon) \leq CK$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Asmar, N., Berkson, E. and Gillespie, T. A. Maximal estimates on Measure spaces by weak type multipliers. *The J. of Geom. Analysis*, 5, No.2, 1995, 167-179.
- [2] Asmar, N., Berkson, E. and Gillespie, T. A. Generalized de Leeuw Theorems and Extension Theorems for Weak Type Multipliers. *Interaction between Functional Analysis, Harmonic Analysis and Probability*. N. Kalton et al. (ed.), Marcel Dekker Lect. Notes Pure and Appl. Math. , 175, 1996, 41-67.
- [3] Asmar, N., Berkson, E. and Gillespie, T. A. Note on norm convergence in the space of a weak type multipliers. *J. of Op.Theory*, 39, 1998, 139-149.
- [4] Berkson, E., Paluszyński, M., and Weiss, G. Transference Couples and Their Applications to convolution Operators and Maximal operators. *Interaction between Functional Analysis, Harmonic Analysis, and Probability*, N. Kalton et al. (ed.), Lect. Notes in Pure and Applied Maths., Marcel Dekker, 175, 1996, 69-84.
- [5] Gröchenig, M. and Madych, W.R. Multiresolution Analysis, Haar Basis, and Self-Similar Tilings of $\mathbb{R}^N$. *IEEE Transactions of Information Theory*, Vol. 38, No.2, 1992, 556-568.
- [6] Jodeit, M. Restrictions and Extensions of Fourier Multipliers. *Studia Math.*, 34, 1970, 215-226.
- [7] Madych, W. R. Some elementary properties of Multiresolution Analysis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. *Wavelets a Tutorial in Theory and Applications*, C.K. Chui (ed.), Academic Press Inc., 1992, 259-294.
- [8] Stein, E. M. and Weiss, G. *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*. Princeton Math.Ser., Vol. 32, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1971.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, KANPUR-
208016, INDIA

E-mail address: `parasar@iitk.ac.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, KANPUR-
208016, INDIA

E-mail address: `madan@iitk.ac.in`